



## 1. Courant algebroid axioms

A Courant Algebroid consists of a vector bundle  $E \rightarrow M$  with a bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ , a non-degenerate fiber-wise inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and a bundle map (“anchor”)  $\rho : E \rightarrow TM$  subject to the following three axioms

$$[e, [e', e'']] = [[e, e'], e''] + [e', [e, e'']] \quad , \quad \rho(e)\langle e', e' \rangle = 2\langle [e, e'], e' \rangle \quad , \quad \rho(e)\langle e', e' \rangle = 2\langle e, [e', e'] \rangle$$

for  $e, e', e'' \in \Gamma E$ .

- The last axiom can be rewritten as  $[e, e] = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}\langle e, e \rangle$ , where  $D = \iota \circ \rho^T \circ d$  and  $\iota : E^* \rightarrow E$  is the isomorphism induced by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and  $\rho^T : T^*M \rightarrow E^*$  is the transpose of the anchor map.
- Polarizing the last two axioms (i.e. substitute  $e' = e_1 + e_2$ ) you can show  $\rho(e)\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle = \langle [e, e_1], e_2 \rangle + \langle e_1, [e, e_2] \rangle = \langle e, [e_1, e_2] + [e_2, e_1] \rangle$ .
- Derive two further important properties from the axioms:

$$[e, f \cdot e'] = \rho(e)(f) \cdot e' + f \cdot [e, e'] \quad , \quad \rho([e, e']) = [\rho(e), \rho(e')]_{\text{Lie}}$$

(Note that  $\langle f \cdot e', e'' \rangle = f \cdot \langle e', e'' \rangle$  for  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ .)

- The bracket is neither antisymmetric, nor does it satisfy the Leibniz rule in the first slot. Show that instead:  $[f \cdot e', e] = f \cdot [e', e] - \rho(e)f \cdot e' + \mathcal{D}\langle f \cdot e', e \rangle$ .

## 2. Metric-twisted Dorfman bracket

It is a standard result that the Dorfman bracket

$$[Y + \eta, Z + \zeta] = \mathcal{L}_Y(Z + \zeta) - i_Z d\eta \quad \text{with } Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM) \text{ and } \eta, \zeta \in \Gamma(T^*M)$$

can be twisted by the  $B$ -field leading to a bracket with an extra term involving the 3-form flux  $H$ . (You are welcome to review this construction as an exercise.) Instead of using the antisymmetric  $B$ , the Dorfman bracket can also be twisted by the symmetric metric  $g$  (or even more generally by  $\mathcal{G} = g + B$ ). In order to preserve the Courant algebroid axioms, the pairing must also be modified. The metric-twisted bracket and pairing are

$$[Y + \eta, Z + \zeta]_g = e^{-g}([e^g Y + \eta, e^g Z + \zeta]) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle Y + \eta, Z + \zeta \rangle_g = i_Y \zeta + i_Z \eta + 2g(Y, Z) \quad ,$$

where  $e^g Y \equiv Y + g(Y, \cdot)$  and the metric  $g$  maps the vector field  $Y$  to the 1-form  $g(Y, \cdot)$ .

- Compute  $2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) := \langle X, [Y, Z]_g \rangle_g - \langle X, [Y, Z]_{\text{Lie}} \rangle_g$  to obtain a Koszul formula for the connection. (Unlike the original Koszul formula, your result will also hold for a non-symmetric metric  $\mathcal{G} = g + B$ . See arXiv:1512.00207 [hep-th] for the result.)

This is in fact an exercise in standard differential geometry (no fancy math needed). To proceed, first derive (or convince yourself) that  $\mathcal{L}_X g(Y, \cdot) = X \cdot g(Y, \cdot) - g(Y, [X, \cdot])$ . Using the Cartan identity  $\mathcal{L}_X = i_X d + di_X$  this then also gives  $i_X dg(Y, \cdot) = X \cdot g(Y, \cdot) - g(Y, [X, \cdot]) - dg(Y, X)$ .

- Verify that metricity of the connection is ensured by the third Courant algebroid axiom: Show  $X \cdot g(Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X Z)$  using the result of 1(b) (previous exercise).
- A more challenging exercise: Show that  $\nabla_X$  defined in 2(a) is indeed an affine connection. *Hint: Use the result of part 1(d) to show  $\nabla_X fY = X(f) \cdot Y + f \cdot \nabla_X Y$ . Think about possible generalizations of the construction for arbitrary Courant algebroids (using the anchor map).*